

report on the Condition of the ^{Sail} of this County.

This day came Hedley & Co. who allege that they are aggrieved by an entry in the property books made by Joseph T. Gay Appt. in the Township of Franklin in the County of Southampton whereby they are charged with \$33.62 Taxes for the year 1871, on \$5000 Capital invested and thereupon the said Hedley & Co. moved the Court to exonerate them from the payment of \$24.⁰⁰ part of the Taxes so erroneously charged against them for the said year, which motion was defended by J. B. Smith, the attorney for the Commissioners for said County, and the said Joseph T. Gay the Appt. the made the apportionment was examined as a return touching the application, and it appearing that application for redress against the erroneous entry was made this day for the first time, and it appearing that \$4800 of the \$5000 is charged to Hedley & Co. in the property books as Capital invested, and is also charged as real Estate on the Land books of said Appt. to James Henry Estate so that the tax on \$4800 is charged twice, thus to Hedley & Co. as Capital, invested, and again taxed (as it stands to) as real Estate to James Henry Estate. And of which the Court certifies as facts proved upon the applications aforesaid. On Consideration aforesaid and from such facts so proved, the Court is satisfied that the said ~~xxx~~ Hedley & Co. are erroneously charged on said books of personal property with Taxes amounting to \$24.⁰⁰ Whereupon it is Ordered that the said Hedley & Co. be exonerated from the the payment of \$24.⁰⁰ Taxes so erroneously charged, if not already paid, and if paid, that it be refunded to them.

This day came Eshelton Kitchum and others who allege that they are aggrieved by an entry in the Land Books made by Joseph T. Gay Appt. in the Township of Franklin Appt. in this (Southampton) County, whereby they are charged with \$3.03 Taxes for the year 1871, on two acres of Land and improvements thereon, described in the Land book as "James's Church", and thereupon the said Eshelton Kitchum and others moved the Court to exonerate them from the payment of \$3.03, all of said Land so erroneously charged against them for said year, which motion was defended by Joseph B. Smith the attorney for the Commissioners for said County, and the said C. C. Pangborn the Appt. the made the apportionment was examined as a return touching the application, and it appearing that the application for redress against the erroneous entry was made on this day for the first time; and it appearing that the said two acres of Land and improvements thereon are held by the said Eshelton Kitchum and others, as Trustees, as a place for Divine worship and are exempt from taxation by Law. And of which the Court certifies as facts proved upon the applications aforesaid. On Consideration aforesaid and from such facts so proved, the Court is satisfied that the said Eshelton Kitchum and others, are erroneously charged on said Book with